

Grid Code Industry Consultation Response Proforma

GC0074 GCRP Membership

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses by **1 August 2014** to Grid.Code@nationalgrid.com. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

These responses will be included in the Report to the Authority which is drafted by National Grid and submitted to the Authority for a decision.

Respondent:	Alastair Frew
Company Name:	ScottishPower Generation
1. What are your views on Interconnector users being given a seat on the GCRP as an occasional attendee when it is deemed appropriate by the GCRP and/or the Code Administrator based on the subject matter, rather than as a permanent member where an Interconnector Representative would be expected to attend all meetings.	As most business does not affect Interconnector users there is no need for them to be a permanent member, however Interconnector users should be allowed to attend when there are issues which they believe specifically affects.
2. Do you agree with the group that (i) the Scottish TOs should continue to have a seat on the Panel (ii) that the DNOs should continue to be represented by three seats on the Panel (2 for England & Wales and 1 for Scotland) and (iii) that manufacturers should not be represented on the Panel.	i) Yes ii) Yes iii) Yes
3. Do you believe that each NGET Representative should hold 1 vote each, as for other representatives, or that this should be reduced to, for example, 2 votes between the proposed 4 NGET Representatives.	NGET representatives should each get 1 vote. Currently voting is irrelevant as only NGET can put through any proposal and do not need to abide by any vote. Similarly any proposal that the chair has the casting vote means that its NGET's decision and this post is not independent.
4. How do you think a tied vote should be dealt with in an election?	
Do you believe that GC0074 better facilitates the appropriate Grid Code objectives?	Yes

Please provide any other comments you feel are relevant to the proposed change.	No